

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	l	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/836,012	09/836,012 04/17/2001		Jeffrey R. Sampson	10992153-1 6991 (2003309-0013)	
22878	7590	02/02/2005	•	EXAMINER	
		OLOGIES, INC.	CHUNDURU, SURYAPRABHA		
INTELLEC P.O. BOX 7		ROPERTY ADMINIS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
M/S DL429			1637		
LOVELAN	D, CO 8	30537-0599	DATE MAILED: 02/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	App	lication No.	Applicant(s)			
		336,012	SAMPSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Exar	niner	Art Unit			
	Sury	aprabha Chunduru	1637			
The MAILING DATE of this community Period for Reply	nication appears o	on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisio after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nmunication. (30) days, a reply within to statutory period will apply ly will, by statute, cause t	n no event, however, may a reply be time the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.3) ☐ Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 74-83</u> is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17 and 74-83</u> is/are rejection of the complex of the	are withdrawn from	m consideration.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by to the transfer of the drawing(s) filed on is/arc Applicant may not request that any objected the transfer of the	e: a) accepted ection to the drawing the correction is r	g(s) be held in abeyance. See equired if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 09/836,012

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Upon reconsideration of the broad scope of the claims the finality of the previous office action is withdrawn and the prosecution is reopened herein.
- 2. Applicants' response to the office action and amendment filed on December 20, 2004 has been entered.
- 2. New claims 81-83 are added. Claims 18-73 are cancelled. Claims 7, 12, 14 are amended. Claims 1-17, and 74-83 are pending.
- 3. The instant application filed on April 17, 2001 is a CIP of US non provisional application 09/112,437 filed on 7/9/1998 (PAT 6,218,118).

New grounds of rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- A. Claims 1-17, 81-83 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Southern et al. (WO 95/04160).

Southern et al. teach a composition (mixture) of claims 1-2, 7, 12, 14, 81-82, comprising X-mer precursor having a minimum length of 6 nucleotides (see page 5, line 28-36, page 55, line 13-23, page 2, line 27-33), wherein the mixture the mixture has at least complexity of at least 56/N, wherein N represents the number of distinct X-mers (see page 5, line 28-36, Fig. 3a, page 55, line 13-23); wherein the mixture comprises a set of tags (reporter groups) and each tag is

Application/Control Number: 09/836,012

Art Unit: 1637

covalently linked to at least one X-mer through a cleavable linkage (see page 6, paragraph 2, page 7, line 3-6, page 14, line 1-24).

With regard to claims 2, Southern et al. also teach that X-mer precursors comprise isotopic composition (see page 7, line 3-12);

With regard to claims 3-6, Southern et al. teach 4096 different hexanucleotides with known oligonucleotide sequences (which includes sets of X-mers ranging from 128 to 512) (see page 5, line 28-30, page 42, line 1-30);

With regard to claim 7, Southern et al. teach that the number tags distinguishable by mass spectrometry includes 20- 4096 (each X-mer having a unique tag) (see page 5, line 28-30, page 2, lines 27-33);

With regard to claims 8-11, Southern et al. teach that 4096 number of unique tags (which includes the number ranging from 10-5000) (see page 5, line 28-30);

With regard to claim 12-17, 83, Southern et al. teach that said number of tags is greater than a mass complexity of a natural equivalent (without a tag) and the increment in adding a reporter is larger than the mass difference between the smallest and the largest tag (page 7, line 27-35, page 8, table 2, that indicates 0.5%-100% number of tags).

With regard to claim 6, Brenner teaches that the nucleotide sequences of the precursors of said mixture are known (see col. 7, table II);

B. Claims 1, 3-6, 74-80 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brenner (USPN. 5,654,413).

Brenner teaches a composition (mixture) of claims 1, 3-5, comprising X-mer precursor having a minimum length of 3 nucleotides (see col. 3, line 15-67, col. 4, line 1-8, col. 7, line 39-

Application/Control Number: 09/836,012 Page 4

Art Unit: 1637

60), wherein the mixture the mixture has at least complexity of at least 56/N, wherein N represents the number of distinct X-mers (see col. 7, table II shows complexity of at least 56/N); wherein the mixture comprises a set of tags and each tag is covalently linked to at least one X-mer through a cleavable linkage (see col. 9, line 25-67, col. 10, line 1-67, col. 11, line 1-65).

With regard to claim 6, Brenner teaches that the nucleotide sequences of the precursors of said mixture are known (see col. 7, table II);

With regard to claims 74-80, Brenner teaches a kit composition comprising said mixture of x-mer precursors (comprising natural or non-natural nucleotides, see col. 5, line 37-44), enzymes such as polymerases (polymerases are also considered as condensing agent herein, since the instant specification did not define the term condensing agent nor given any specific examples of a condensing agent), ligases, an array comprising surface and multiplicity of sequence probes (oligonulceotides) attached to it (see col. 23, line 40-57, col. 17, line 35-63, col. 18, line 43-67). Thus the disclosure of Brenner meets the limitations in the instant claims.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicants' response to arguments and amendment have been entered and found persuasive
- 6. With reference to the rejection made under double patenting, Applicant's arguments and the terminal disclaimer have been fully considered and the rejection is withdrawn in view of the terminal disclaimer.

Conclusion

No claims are allowable.

Art Unit: 1637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suryaprabha Chunduru whose telephone number is 571-272-0783. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30A.M. - 4.30P.M, Mon - Friday,

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Suryaprabha Chunduru Examiner Art Unit 1637

> JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER